

Recap: Matrix inversion.

Alg: To invert Matrix $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$[M | I_n] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} [I_n | M^{-1}]$$

NB: if the RREF of $[M | I_n]$ does not have form $[I_n | M^{-1}]$, then it is NOT possible to invert...

Prop: Let A be an $m \times k$ matrix and B be a $k \times n$ matrix. Then $L_B \circ L_A = L_{BA}$.

Point: The matrix transformations have composition determined by the corresponding matrix product.

Pf: Skipped in lecture, feel free to request a video "I".

Cor: Matrix multiplication is associative.

pf (Cor): Suppose A, B, C are matrices w/ "correct sizes for multiplication". We have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{A(BC)} &= L_A \circ L_{BC} = L_A \circ (L_B \circ L_C) \\ &= (L_A \circ L_B) \circ L_C = L_{AB} \circ L_C = L_{(AB)C} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A(BC) = (AB)C$. □

NB: If A is $m \times n$ and B is $k \times l$, then

$$L_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{R}^m} \quad \text{and} \quad L_B: \underline{\mathbb{R}^l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

If $m \neq l$, then $\mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{L_A} \mathbb{R}^m$
 $\quad \quad \quad \times$
 $\quad \quad \quad \mathbb{R}^l \xrightarrow{L_B} \mathbb{R}^k$,

So $L_B \circ L_A$ does not exist, same with
 $B \cdot A$ is undefined...

Also recall, a map $L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an
 isomorphism when (L^{-1}) exists.

Prop: A map $L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an automorphism when
 the matrix $[L]$ determining L is invertible.

I.E. when $[L^{-1}] = [L]^{-1}$ exists.

in particular, $[L] \cdot [L]^{-1} = I_n = [L]^{-1} \cdot [L]$.
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{"id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$

* It turns out the invertible matrices have a decomposition
 as a product of "Elementary matrices".

Defn: Let $n \geq 1$. An elementary $n \times n$ matrix is a
 matrix obtained from I_n via a single row operation.

- ① $M_i(c) \leftarrow$ multiply row i by $c \neq 0$.
- ② $P_{i,j} \leftarrow$ Swap row i and row j .
- ③ $A_{i,j}(c) \leftarrow$ add c times row i to row j (replace row j)

Ex: For $n=3$.

$$M_1(5) = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_3(\pi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_{1,3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{1,3}(5) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{3,1}(5) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Prop: Matrix M is invertible if and only if M can be expressed as a product of elementary matrices.

Lemma: The elementary matrices simulate row operations.
i.e. If E is an elementary matrix, then EM is the matrix obtained by applying the operation E represents to M .

Ex: $P_{1,3} \cdot M = \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{matrix obtained by swapping rows} \\ 1 \text{ and } 3 \text{ in } M \end{array} \right]$.

NB: Lemma proof is very simple... what remains follows from an induction on the number of row operations performed on the invertible matrix to reach the identity.

Ex: Express the (invertible!) matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ as a product of elementary matrices.}$$

Idea: Apply row reductions and record the inverse reductions...

Sol. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{1 \leftrightarrow 2} P_{2,1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} \left(\underbrace{A_{1,2}^{(1)}}_{\text{check}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} \left(M_3(2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right)$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} M_3(2) A_{2,3}^{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} M_3(2) A_{2,3}^{(1)} M_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} M_3(2) A_{2,3}^{(1)} M_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) A_{3,2}^{(-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} M_3(2) A_{2,3}^{(1)} M_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) A_{3,2}^{(-1)} A_{3,1}^{(-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} M_3(2) A_{2,3}^{(1)} M_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) A_{3,2}^{(-1)} A_{3,1}^{(-1)} A_{2,1}^{(1)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} P_{2,1} A_{1,2}^{(1)} A_{1,3}^{(1)} \underline{M_3(2)} A_{2,3}^{(1)} M_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) A_{3,2}^{(-1)} A_{3,1}^{(-1)} A_{2,1}^{(1)} M_3^{(-1)} I_3$



Remarks: ① The factorization above is NOT the most "efficient" one...

② All the " \rightsquigarrow " should be replaced w/ " $=$ "...
what we computed were honest matrix equalities $\ddot{\smile}$.

Prop: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then A can be expressed as $\underline{A} = E_n E_{n-1} \dots E_2 E_1 \underline{\text{RREF}(A)}$

for E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n elementary $n \times n$ matrices.

NB: This is essentially the same as saying A can be reduced to $\text{RREF}(A)$ via elementary row operations.

Ex: Compute the inverse of $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ provided it exists.

Sol: $\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & 1 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

$\rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} ac & bc & c & 0 \\ ac & ad & 0 & a \end{array} \right]$

$\rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} ac & bc & c & 0 \\ 0 & ad-bc & -c & a \end{array} \right]$

$\rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} ac & bc & c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{array} \right]$

$$\frac{c(ad-bc) + bc^2}{ad-bc} = \frac{adc - \cancel{bc^2} + bc^2}{ad-bc}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} ac & 0 & c + \frac{bc^2}{ad-bc} & -\frac{abc}{ad-bc} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{array} \right]$

$$\rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & \frac{d}{ad-bc} & -\frac{b}{ad-bc} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\therefore \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{ad-bc} & -\frac{b}{ad-bc} \\ -\frac{c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

So: If $ad-bc \neq 0$, then $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$

Point: Quantity $ad-bc$ is important: it determines whether or not $L_{\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}}$ is an automorphism.